UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

WHITE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

. Case No. 17-cv-01775

vs.

. Newark, New Jersey

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., et al., . September 26, 2017

Defendants.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN C. MANNION UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: MICHAEL E. BERMAN, ESQ.

Berman Class Law 270 Shore Road

Suite 14

Long Beach, NY 11561

(516) 320-9076

michael@meberman.com

LG Electronics

U.S.A., Inc.:

For the Defendant JEFFREY J. GREENBAUM, ESQ. Sills Cummis & Gross P.C.

The Legal Center

One Riverfront Plaza Newark, NJ 07102-5400

(973) 643-7000

jgreenbaum@sillscummis.com

## Audio Operator:

Transcription Service: KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES

3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203

Riverdale, NJ 07457

(973) 237-6080

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

1	(APPEARANCES continued)	
2		MICHAEL II DIDIN EGO
3	For the Defendant LG Electronics	Latham & Watkins
4	U.S.A., Inc.:	505 Montgomery Street Suite 2000
5		San Francisco, CA 94111-6538 (415) 395-8154
6		Michael.rubin@lw.com
7	For the Defendant	MICHAEL R. MCDONALD, ESQ.
8	Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.:	Gibbons, PC One Gateway Center
9		Newark, NJ 07102-5310 (973) 596-4500
10		mmcdonald@gibbonslaw.com
11		ERIC C. BOSSET, ESQ. Covington & Burling LLP
12		One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW
13		Washington, DC 20001-4956 (202) 662-5606
14		Ebosset@cov.com
15	For the Defendants	MARK S. MELODIA, ESQ.
16	Sony Corporation and Sony	Reed Smith, LLP Princeton Forrestal Village
17	Electronics Corporation:	136 Main Street Suite 250
18		Princeton, NJ 08540 (609) 987-0050
19		mmelodia@reedsmith.com
20		SIOBHAN ANNE NOLAN, ESQ. Reed Smith LLP
21		Princeton Forrestal Village 136 Main Street
22		Suite 250 Princeton, NJ 08540
23		(609) 524-2058 snolan@reedsmith.com
24		
25		

```
1
              (Commencement of proceedings at 10:43 A.M.)
 2
 3
              THE COURT OFFICER: We are on the record in the
    case White versus LG Electronics, 17-cv-1775.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                         All right. May I have appearance
 6
   beginning with plaintiff's counsel.
 7
             MR. BERMAN: Michael Eric Berman, counsel for
 8
   plaintiffs.
 9
                         Welcome. And for each defense?
              THE COURT:
              MR. GREENBAUM: Jeffrey J. Greenbaum, Sills
10
11
    Cummis & Gross for defendant LG Electronics U.S. Inc.
12
              MR. RUBIN: Michael Rubin, Latham & Watkins for
    defendant LG Electronics U.S.A.
13
              MR. MCDONALD: Michael McDonald from Gibbons P.C.
14
15
    on behalf of Samsung Electronics, for the record.
16
              MR. BOSSET: Eric Bosset, Covington & Burling on
   behalf of Samsung Electronics American.
17
18
              MR. MELODIA: Mark Melodia here with Siobhan Nolan
    from Reed Smith making a limited appearance for Sony
19
20
    Corporation of America and Sony Electronics Inc.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. We had a conversation off the
22
    record about status of this matter and a way forward.
23
              It's the Court's understanding that all counsel
24
   have agreed for their respective clients to an administrative
25
    termination of this case, a stay and an administrative
```

1 termination of the case without prejudice; that a 2 administrative termination is a docket control device that 3 simply turns off the docket and stays the case for a specific 4 period of time. Here, the time would be 90 days, during 5 which the statute of limitations would not begin to run. 6 This is not a dismissal of the case for any other purposes 7 other than to turn off the case pending the additional 8 investigation by plaintiffs. 9 In order to reactivate the case, plaintiffs' counsel would need to file a letter with the Court -- I'm 10 11 going to say by December 22nd, so anytime up until 12 December 22nd, a letter requesting that the case be restored 13 to the active lists and attaching a proposed amended 14 complaint to be filed. 15 If that happens, then defense counsel would have opportunity to respond to the proposed amended pleading, but 16 17 the case would be restored to the active list. 18 And defendants would also have the opportunity to 19 request, if there is no proposed pleading, request that their 20 motion to dismiss be decided. 21 If there is a proposed pleading and the Court 22 grants it, that would moot the motion to dismiss, and we 23 would have to decide what happens at that point. 24 Are these terms acceptable to plaintiffs? 25 MR. BERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. To defense?
 2
              MALE SPEAKER: Yes.
 3
              MR. MELODIA: Your Honor, the way Your Honor just
    phrased the options, at the 90-day point don't really account
 4
 5
    for the fact that the Sony entities have not yet joined --
 6
    the motion to dismiss.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
 8
              MR. MELODIA: So --
 9
                         So at this point, you would have no
              THE COURT:
10
    obligation to respond to the current pleading or anything
11
    during that 90 days.
12
              MR. MELODIA: And if after that 90 days, there was
13
    no response from plaintiffs.
14
              THE COURT: The case would be dismissed with
15
    prejudice.
16
              MR. MELODIA: As to all of the defendants?
              THE COURT: Everybody named in the current
17
18
    complaint.
19
              MR. MELODIA:
                            Okay.
20
              THE COURT:
                         Okay? All right. With that, I will
21
    contact Judge Arleo, and most likely she'll instruct me to
22
    file the appropriate order. And I will hear from you if you
23
    want me to. Okay?
2.4
              Thank you all very much. We are adjourned.
25
              (Conclusion of proceedings at 11:05 A.M.)
```

1 Certification 2 I, SARA L. KERN, Transcriptionist, do hereby certify 3 that the 6 pages contained herein constitute a full, true, 4 and accurate transcript from the official electronic 5 recording of the proceedings had in the above-entitled 6 matter; that research was performed on the spelling of proper 7 names and utilizing the information provided, but that in 8 many cases the spellings were educated guesses; that the 9 transcript was prepared by me or under my direction and was 10 done to the best of my skill and ability. 11 I further certify that I am in no way related to any of 12 the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof. 13 14 15 16 17 S/ Sara L. Kern 18 26th of October, 2017 19 Signature of Approved Transcriber Date 20 21 Sara L. Kern, CET\*\*D-338 22 King Transcription Services 3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203 23 Riverdale, NJ 07457 (973) 237-6080 2.4 25